

THE WEAK BANACH-SAKS PROPERTY OF THE SPACE $(L_\mu^p)^m$

ZHENGLU JIANG AND XIAOYONG FU

ABSTRACT. In this paper we show the weak Banach-Saks property of the Banach vector space $(L_\mu^p)^m$ generated by m L_μ^p -spaces for $1 \leq p < +\infty$, where m is any given natural number. When $m = 1$, this is the famous Banach-Saks-Szlenk theorem. By use of this property, we also present inequalities for integrals of functions that are the composition of nonnegative continuous convex functions on a convex set of a vector space $\mathbf{R}^m$ and vector-valued functions in a weakly compact subset of the space $(L_\mu^p)^m$ for $1 \leq p < +\infty$ and inequalities when these vector-valued functions are in a weakly* compact subset of the product space $(L_\mu^\infty)^m$ generated by m L_μ^∞ -spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

We begin with some notations and definitions used throughout this paper. m and n are natural numbers, $\mathbf{R}$ denotes the real number system, $\mathbf{R}^n$ is the usual vector space of real n -tuples $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, μ is a nonnegative Lebesgue measure of $\mathbf{R}^n$; $L_\mu^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ represents a Banach space of any measurable function $\hat{u} = \hat{u}(x)$ with its finite norm

$$\|\hat{u}\|_p = \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\hat{u}(x)|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

for any given $p \in [1, +\infty)$, and $(L_\mu^p(\mathbf{R}^n))^m$ denotes a Banach vector space where each measurable vector-valued function $u = u(x)$ with m components $\hat{u}^{(j)} = \hat{u}^{(j)}(x)$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$) in $L_\mu^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is given by $u = (\hat{u}^{(1)}, \hat{u}^{(2)}, \dots, \hat{u}^{(m)})$ and its norm is defined by $\|u\|_p = \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \|\hat{u}^{(j)}\|_p^p \right)^{1/p}$; similarly, $L_\mu^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ represents a Banach space of any measurable function $\hat{u} = \hat{u}(x)$ with its finite norm

$$\|\hat{u}\|_\infty = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \mathbf{R}^n} |\hat{u}(x)| \quad (\text{or say } \|\hat{u}\|_\infty = \inf_{\substack{E \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n \\ \mu(E^C)=0}} \sup_{x \in E} |\hat{u}(x)|)$$

where E^C represents the complement set of E in $\mathbf{R}^n$, and $(L_\mu^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n))^m$ denotes a Banach vector space where each measurable vector-valued function $u = u(x)$ with m components $\hat{u}_j = \hat{u}_j(x)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$) in $L_\mu^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is denoted by $u = (\hat{u}^{(1)}, \hat{u}^{(2)}, \dots, \hat{u}^{(m)})$ and its norm is defined by $\|u\|_\infty = \sum_{j=1}^m \|\hat{u}^{(j)}\|_\infty$.

If a function u and a sequence $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^{+\infty}$ in $(L_\mu^p(\mathbf{R}^n))^m$ are assumed to satisfy the fact that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|u_i - u\|_p = 0$, then this sequence $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^{+\infty}$ is said to be strongly convergent in $(L_\mu^p(\mathbf{R}^n))^m$ to u . Similarly, if a function u and a sequence $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^{+\infty}$ in $(L_\mu^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n))^m$ are assumed to have the property that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|u_i - u\|_\infty = 0$, then this sequence $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^{+\infty}$ is said to be strongly convergent in $(L_\mu^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n))^m$ to u .

Besides the convergence given above, we consider a definition of weak convergence of a sequence in $(L_\mu^p(\mathbf{R}^n))^m$. Assume that $q = p/(p-1)$ as $p \in (1, +\infty)$ and that $q = \infty$ as

Date: February 2, 2008.

Key words and phrases. convex functions; measure spaces; Lebesgue integrals; Banach spaces.

$p = 1$. If a function $\hat{u}$ and a sequence $\{\hat{u}_i\}_{i=1}^{+\infty}$ in $L_\mu^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ have the following relation:

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \hat{u}_i \hat{v} d\mu = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \hat{u} \hat{v} d\mu \quad (1)$$

for all $\hat{v} \in L_\mu^q(\mathbf{R}^n)$, then the sequence $\{\hat{u}_i\}_{i=1}^{+\infty}$ is said to be weakly convergent in $L_\mu^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to $\hat{u}$. If $\{\hat{u}_i^{(j)}\}_{i=1}^{+\infty}$ is weakly convergent in $L_\mu^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to $\hat{u}^{(j)}$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ as i goes to ∞ , then a sequence $\{u_i = (\hat{u}_i^{(1)}, \hat{u}_i^{(2)}, \dots, \hat{u}_i^{(m)})\}_{i=1}^{+\infty}$ is said to be weakly convergent in $(L_\mu^p(\mathbf{R}^n))^m$ to $u = (\hat{u}^{(1)}, \hat{u}^{(2)}, \dots, \hat{u}^{(m)})$.

Similarly, we introduce a definition of weak* convergence of a sequence in $L_\mu^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$. If a function $\hat{u}$ and a sequence $\{\hat{u}_i\}_{i=1}^{+\infty}$ in $L_\mu^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ satisfy the equality (1) for all $\hat{v} \in L_\mu^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$, then the sequence $\{\hat{u}_i\}_{i=1}^{+\infty}$ is said to be weakly* convergent in $L_\mu^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to $\hat{u}$. If $\{\hat{u}_i^{(j)}\}_{i=1}^{+\infty}$ is weakly* convergent in $L_\mu^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to $\hat{u}^{(j)}$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ as i goes to ∞ , then a sequence $\{u_i = (\hat{u}_i^{(1)}, \hat{u}_i^{(2)}, \dots, \hat{u}_i^{(m)})\}_{i=1}^{+\infty}$ is said to be weakly* convergent in $(L_\mu^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n))^m$ to $u = (\hat{u}^{(1)}, \hat{u}^{(2)}, \dots, \hat{u}^{(m)})$.

Assume that Y is a Banach space. Y is said to be of the weak Banach-Saks property if any sequence $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^{+\infty}$ weakly convergent in Y to y contains a subsequence $\{y_{i_r}\}_{r=1}^{+\infty}$ such that $(\sum_{r=1}^k y_{i_r})/k$ converges strongly in Y to y .

Banach and Saks [1] first proved that $L_\mu^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ has the weak Banach-Saks property for the $1 < p < +\infty$ case in 1930 and then the similar result for $L_\mu^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ was showed by Szlenk [8] in 1965. This result about $L_\mu^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is the famous Banach-Saks-Szlenk theorem. Now there is not yet this result about the Banach vector space $(L_\mu^p(\mathbf{R}^n))^m$ when $m \neq 1$. The aim of this paper is to extend the Banach-Saks-Szlenk theorem to the case of the vector space $(L_\mu^p(\mathbf{R}^n))^m$ generated by m $L_\mu^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ -spaces for $1 \leq p < +\infty$ and show that $(L_\mu^p(\mathbf{R}^n))^m$ has the weak Banach-Saks property for any fixed natural number m . An application of this property is to show inequalities for integrals of functions that are the composition of nonnegative continuous convex functions on a convex set of a vector space $\mathbf{R}^m$ and vector-valued functions in a weakly compact subset of a Banach vector space generated by m L_μ^p -spaces for $1 \leq p < +\infty$ and inequalities when these vector-valued functions are in a weakly* compact subset of a Banach vector space generated by m L_μ^∞ -spaces.

2. THE WEAK BANACH-SAKS PROPERTY

A detail description of the weak Banach-Saks property of $(L_\mu^p(\mathbf{R}^n))^m$ for any fixed natural number m is as follows:

Theorem 1. *Given a real number p in $[1, +\infty)$. Assume that a sequence $\{u_i = u_i(x)\}_{i=1}^{+\infty}$ converges weakly in $(L_\mu^p(\mathbf{R}^n))^m$ to $u = u(x)$. Then this sequence contains a subsequence $\{u_{i_r}\}_{r=1}^{+\infty}$ with its arithmetic means $\frac{1}{k} \sum_{r=1}^k u_{i_r}$ strongly convergent in $(L_\mu^p(\mathbf{R}^n))^m$ to u as k goes to infinity.*

We can below show Theorem 1 using the two following techniques with only minor adjustments: one is given by Banach and Saks [1] for any fixed $p \in (1, +\infty)$, and another by Szlenk [8] in the case when $p = 1$.

To prove Theorem 1 for any fixed $p \in (1, +\infty)$, we have first to introduce the following lemma:

Lemma 1 ([1]). *Let a and b be any real numbers and $1 < p < +\infty$. Then*

$$|a + b|^p \leq |a|^p + p|a|^{p-1}[\text{sgn}(a)]b + A|b|^p + B(p, a, b). \quad (2)$$

Here, A is a positive constant independent of a and b ; $\text{sgn}(\tau)$ is defined as follows: $\text{sgn}(0) = 0$, $\text{sgn}(\tau) = 1$ as $\tau > 0$ and $\text{sgn}(\tau) = -1$ as $\tau < 0$; $B(p, a, b) = 0$ as $p \in (1, 2]$ and $B(p, a, b) = \sum_{i=2}^{E(p)} \binom{p}{i} |a|^{p-i} |b|^i$ as $p \in (2, +\infty)$, where $E(p)$ is the largest natural number less than p .

The proof of this lemma can be found in [1]. Using the inequality (2), we can get a similar result to that given by Banach and Saks [1]. This result is as follows:

Lemma 2. Assume that $p > 1$ and that a sequence $\{\hat{u}_i = \hat{u}_i(x)\}_{i=1}^{+\infty}$ in $L_\mu^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\hat{u}_i(x)|^p d\mu \leq 1 \quad (3)$$

for all $i \geq 1$. Put $\hat{s}_k(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k \hat{u}_i(x)$. Then

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\hat{s}_k(x)|^p d\mu \leq C(k) + p \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\hat{s}_{k-1}(x)|^{p-1} [\text{sgn}(\hat{s}_{k-1}(x))] \hat{u}_k(x) d\mu + \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\hat{s}_{k-1}(x)|^p d\mu, \quad (4)$$

where, $C(k) = A + Bk^{p-2}$, A and B are positive constants independent of k and $\{\hat{u}_i\}_{i=1}^{+\infty}$, $\text{sgn}(\tau)$ is defined as in Lemma 1.

Proof. Insert $a = \hat{s}_{k-1}(x)$ and $b = \hat{u}_k(x)$ into the inequality (2) and integrate all its terms over the space $\mathbf{R}^n$. Then, by (3), we can know that (4) holds in the $1 < p \leq 2$ case and that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\hat{s}_k(x)|^p d\mu &\leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\hat{s}_{k-1}(x)|^p d\mu + p \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\hat{s}_{k-1}(x)|^{p-1} [\text{sgn}(\hat{s}_{k-1}(x))] \hat{u}_k(x) d\mu \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=2}^{E(p)} \binom{p}{i} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\hat{s}_{k-1}(x)|^{p-i} |\hat{u}_k(x)|^i d\mu + A \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

for all $p > 2$. Notice that $\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\hat{s}_{k-1}(x)|^{p-i} |\hat{u}_k(x)|^i d\mu \leq k^{p-i}$ for all $i \leq p$; this can be obtained by first using the Hölder inequality and then the Minkowski one with the help of the condition (3). Take $B = \sum_{i=2}^{E(p)} \binom{p}{i}$. It can be then found that (5) gives (4) for $p > 2$. This hence completes our proof. $\square$

We below give the proof of Theorem 1 for any fixed $p \in (1, +\infty)$. To do this, it suffices to consider the case when $m = 2$. Let us first denote all the vector-valued functions u_i of this sequence in $(L_\mu^p(\mathbf{R}^n))^2$ by $u_i = (\hat{u}_i^{(1)}, \hat{u}_i^{(2)})$, where $\hat{u}_i^{(1)} = \hat{u}_i^{(1)}(x)$ and $\hat{u}_i^{(2)} = \hat{u}_i^{(2)}(x)$ represent two functions in $L_\mu^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for any natural number i . Since any weak convergent sequence in $L_\mu^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is bounded, we may first assume without loss of generality that all the functions u_i of the considered sequence satisfy

$$\|u_i\|_p^p \leq 1 \quad (6)$$

for all $i \geq 1$. We may also assume without loss of generality that this sequence $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^{+\infty}$ converges weakly in $(L_\mu^p(\mathbf{R}^n))^2$ to zero. Then, by recursion, we can determine a subsequence $\{u_{i_r} = (\hat{u}_{i_r}^{(1)}, \hat{u}_{i_r}^{(2)})\}_{i=1}^{+\infty}$ ($i_1 = 1$). This recursive process can be roughly divided into two steps and they are described as follows. The first step to do this is to take $\hat{s}_k^{(j)}(x) = \sum_{r=1}^k \hat{u}_{i_r}^{(j)}(x)$ for $j = 1, 2$ under the assumption that k previous terms $\{u_{i_r} = (\hat{u}_{i_r}^{(1)}, \hat{u}_{i_r}^{(2)})\}_{i=1}^k$ of this subsequence is determined. It can be then known that $\hat{s}_k^{(j)}(x) \in L_\mu^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and that $|\hat{s}_k^{(j)}(x)|^{p-1} [\text{sgn}(\hat{s}_k^{(j)}(x))] \in L_\mu^{p/(p-1)}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for $j = 1, 2$; since these functions $\hat{u}_{i_r}^{(j)}$ converge weakly in $L_\mu^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to zero for $j = 1, 2$, there exists a natural

number i_k such that

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\hat{s}_k^{(j)}(x)|^{p-1} [\text{sgn}(\hat{s}_k^{(j)}(x))] \hat{u}_i^{(j)}(x) d\mu \leq 1 \quad (7)$$

for all $i > i_k$ and $j = 1, 2$; thus the second one is to define the subscript i_{k+1} of the next term to be one of all the natural numbers i satisfying the condition given by (7).

Then, by (7), we can know that for all $k > 1$ and $j = 1, 2$,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\hat{s}_{k-1}^{(j)}(x)|^{p-1} [\text{sgn}(\hat{s}_{k-1}^{(j)}(x))] \hat{u}_{i_k}^{(j)}(x) d\mu \leq 1. \quad (8)$$

Combining (6) and (8) and using Lemma 2, we can show that for $j = 1, 2$,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\hat{s}_k^{(j)}(x)|^p d\mu \leq (A + p)k + Bk^{p-2} + 1,$$

thus giving

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left| \frac{\hat{s}_k^{(j)}(x)}{k} \right|^p d\mu = 0 \text{ for } j = 1, 2.$$

This hence completes our proof of Theorem 1 for any given $p \in (1, +\infty)$.

Now it remains to prove Theorem 1 when $p = 1$. To do this, we first recall a lemma as follows:

Lemma 3 ([8]). *Assume that $\hat{u}_i$ belongs to the Banach space $L[0, 1]$ for any natural number i and converges weakly to zero as i goes to infinity. Then, for any given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a sequence of indexes i_r such that $\overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \frac{1}{k} \left\| \sum_{r=1}^k \hat{u}_{i_r} \right\|_L \leq \varepsilon$ where $\|\cdot\|_L$ represents the norm of the Banach space $L[0, 1]$.*

Lemma 3 and its proof were shown by Szlenk [8] in 1965. By using a similar proof to that given by Szlenk, it can be found that Lemma 3 still holds if $L[0, 1]$ is replaced by the Banach space $L([0, 1]^n)$. Since $L(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is isometric to $L([0, 1]^n)$ (see [9], Page 83), we can easily deduce that

Lemma 4. *Assume that $\hat{u}_i$ belongs to the Banach space $L(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for any natural number i and converges weakly to zero as i goes to infinity. Then, for any given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a sequence of indexes i_r such that $\overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \frac{1}{k} \left\| \sum_{r=1}^k \hat{u}_{i_r} \right\|_1 \leq \varepsilon$.*

Using Lemma 4, we can get the following result:

Lemma 5. *Assume that u_i belongs to the Banach space $(L(\mathbf{R}^n))^m$ for any natural number i and converges weakly to zero as i goes to infinity. Then, for any given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a sequence of indexes i_r such that*

$$\overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \frac{1}{k} \left\| \sum_{r=1}^k u_{i_r} \right\|_1 \leq \varepsilon. \quad (9)$$

We can below prove Theorem 1 when $p = 1$. To do this, it suffices to consider the case of $u = 0$. By Lemma 5, for any given $l \geq 1$, there exists a sequence of indexes $i_{l,r}$ such that

$$\overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{s_1 < \dots < s_k} \frac{1}{k} \left\| \sum_{r=1}^k u_{i_{l,r}} \right\|_1 \leq \frac{1}{l}. \quad (10)$$

Assume that the sequence of indexes $i_{l+1,r}$ is a subsequence of the sequence of indexes $i_{l,r}$. Denote by $\{u_{i_r}\}_{r=1}^{+\infty}$ a sequence of indexes $i_r = i_{r,r}$ corresponding to the condition (10). Then we can know that this sequence $\{u_{i_r}\}_{r=1}^{+\infty}$ satisfies

$$\frac{1}{k} \left\| \sum_{r=1}^k u_{i_r} \right\|_1 \leq \frac{1}{k} \left\| \sum_{r=1}^l u_{i_r} \right\|_1 + \frac{1}{k-l} \left\| \sum_{r=1}^{k-l} u_{i_{l+r}} \right\|_1$$

for all $k > l$. It follows that

$$\overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \left\| \sum_{r=1}^k u_{i_r} \right\|_1 \leq \overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k-l} \left\| \sum_{r=1}^{k-l} u_{i_{l+r}} \right\|_1 = \overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \left\| \sum_{r=1}^k u_{i_{l+r}} \right\|_1. \quad (11)$$

Since $\{u_{i_r}\}_{r=1}^{+\infty}$ is a subsequence of this sequence $\{u_{i_{l,r}}\}_{r=1}^{+\infty}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{k} \left\| \sum_{r=1}^k u_{i_{l+r}} \right\|_1 \leq \sup_{s_1 < \dots < s_k} \frac{1}{k} \left\| \sum_{r=1}^k u_{i_{l,s_r}} \right\|_1. \quad (12)$$

Combining (10), (11) and (12), we can know that

$$\overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \left\| \sum_{r=1}^k u_{i_r} \right\|_1 \leq \frac{1}{l} \text{ for } l = 1, 2, \dots.$$

This implies that $\frac{1}{k} \sum_{r=1}^k u_{i_r}$ converges strongly in the Banach space $(L(\mathbf{R}^n))^m$ to zero as k tends to infinity. Our proof is hence finished.

We can also extend Theorem 1 to a more general case, that is,

Theorem 2. *Given a measure space $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ and a real number p in $[1, +\infty)$. Assume that $\{\hat{u}_i^{(j)}\}_{i=1}^{+\infty}$ converges weakly in $(L_\mu^p(X))^m$ to $\hat{u}^{(j)}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Take $u = (\hat{u}^{(1)}, \hat{u}^{(2)}, \dots, \hat{u}^{(m)})$ and $u_i = (\hat{u}_i^{(1)}, \hat{u}_i^{(2)}, \dots, \hat{u}_i^{(m)})$ for any natural number i . Then this sequence $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^{+\infty}$ contains a subsequence $\{u_{i_r}\}_{r=1}^{+\infty}$ with its arithmetic means $\frac{1}{k} \sum_{r=1}^k u_{i_r}$ strongly convergent in $(L_\mu^p(X))^m$ to u as k goes to infinity.*

When $m = 1$, this result appears in the book of Benedetto [2] and there is an explanation of its proof, that is, it is the same as given by Banach and Saks for any $p \in (1, +\infty)$ and by Szlenk in the case when $p = 1$. We below give a simple proof of Theorem 2. Notice that the separable space $L_\mu^p(X)$ is isometric to $L_\mu^p[0, 1]$ for any $p \in [1, +\infty)$ (see [9]). It is then clear that $(L_\mu^p(X))^m$ is also isometric to $(L_\mu^p[0, 1])^m$ for any fixed natural number m . By Theorem 1, Theorem 2 thus follows.

3. APPLICATION TO INEQUALITIES FOR INTEGRALS

By use of the weak Banach-Saks property of $(L_\mu^p)^m$, we can show inequalities for integrals of functions which are the composition of nonnegative continuous convex functions on a convex set of a vector space $\mathbf{R}^m$ and vector-valued functions in a weakly compact subset of a Banach vector space generated by m L_μ^p -spaces for any given $p \in [1, +\infty)$. That is the following

Theorem 3. *Suppose that a sequence $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^{+\infty}$ weakly converges in $(L_\mu^p(\mathbf{R}^n))^m$ to u as i goes to infinity, where $p \in [1, +\infty)$ and m and n are two positive integers. Assume that all the values of u and u_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) belong to a convex set K in $\mathbf{R}^m$ and that $f(w)$ is a nonnegative continuous convex function from K to $\mathbf{R}$. Then*

$$\varliminf_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} f(u_i) d\mu \geq \int_{\Omega} f(u) d\mu \quad (13)$$

for any measurable set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$.

The estimates of integrals of this kind of composite function is interesting and important in many application areas such as the existence of solutions of differential equations (e.g., see [3] and [10]). A similar result was shown by Jiang et. al [4] if K is assumed to be an open convex set of $\mathbf{R}^m$ instead; Egorov's theorem is used into their proof except for the weak Banach-Saks property of $(L_\mu^p)^m$. Meanwhile, a simple proof of another similar one was given in [5] when K is set to be $\mathbf{R}^m$; this proof requires the weak Banach-Saks property of $(L_\mu^p)^m$ but it does not give any proof of this property; it only shows the case when $m = 1$ in Theorem 2. The former device is valid for only an open convex set K while the latter one is suitable to show inequalities for integrals of these composite functions in a more general case, or more precisely speaking, this case is for any convex set K in $\mathbf{R}^m$. Therefore it is still very necessary to show Theorem 3 and its proof.

It is worth mentioning that some properties of convex functions and weakly compact sets can be found in the literature (e.g., see [2], [6], [7], [9] and [11]).

Proof of Theorem 3. Put $\alpha_i = \int_\Omega f(u_i)d\mu$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) and $\alpha = \varliminf_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \int_\Omega f(u_i)d\mu$ for all $\Omega \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$. Then there exists a subsequence of $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^{+\infty}$ such that this subsequence, denoted without loss of generality by $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^{+\infty}$, converges to α as $i \rightarrow +\infty$.

Since u_i converges weakly in $(L_\mu^p(\mathbf{R}^n))^m$ to u for $1 \leq p < +\infty$, by Theorem 1, it is easy to see that there exists a subsequence $\{u_{i_j} : j = 1, 2, \dots\}$ such that $\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k u_{i_j} \rightarrow u$ in $(L_\mu^p(\mathbf{R}^n))^m$ for $1 \leq p < +\infty$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. Thus there exists a subsequence of $\{\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k u_{i_j} : k = 1, 2, \dots\}$ such that this subsequence (also denoted without loss of generality by $\{\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k u_{i_j} : k = 1, 2, \dots\}$) satisfies that, as $k \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k u_{i_j} \rightarrow u \text{ a.e. in } \mathbf{R}^n. \quad (14)$$

On the other hand, since all the values of $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^{+\infty}$ and u belong to the convex set K in $\mathbf{R}^m$ and $f(w)$ is a nonnegative continuous convex function from K to $\mathbf{R}$, we have

$$f\left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k u_{i_j}\right) \leq \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k f(u_{i_j}). \quad (15)$$

By (15) and Fatou's lemma, it follows that

$$\int_\Omega \varliminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} f\left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k u_{i_j}\right) d\mu \leq \varliminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \int_\Omega f(u_{i_j}) d\mu. \quad (16)$$

Combining (14) and (16), we can know that

$$\int_\Omega f(u) d\mu \leq \varliminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \int_\Omega f(u_{i_j}) d\mu \equiv \varliminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_{i_j}. \quad (17)$$

Finally, by using the property of the convergence of α_i to α , (17) gives (13). This completes our proof. $\square$

Furthermore, we can give the following similar result for weakly* convergent sequences in $(L_\mu^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n))^m$:

Theorem 4. Assume that a sequence $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^{+\infty}$ weakly* converges in $(L_\mu^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n))^m$ to u as i goes to infinity, where m and n are two positive integers. Assume that all the values

of u and u_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) belong to a convex set K of $\mathbf{R}^m$ and that $f(w)$ is a nonnegative continuous convex function from K to $\mathbf{R}$. Then the inequality (13) holds for any measurable set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$.

Proof. Put $\Omega_R = \Omega \cap \{x : |x| < R, x \in \mathbf{R}^n\}$. Then Ω_R is a bounded measurable set in $\mathbf{R}^n$ for all the fixed positive real number R . Since $u_i \rightarrow u$ weakly* in $(L_\mu^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n))^m$, $u_i \rightarrow u$ weakly* in $(L_\mu^\infty(\Omega_R))^m$. Hence, by $L^\infty(\Omega_R) \subset L^1(\Omega_R)$, it can be easily known that $u_i \rightarrow u$ weakly in $(L_\mu^1(\Omega_R))^m$. Then, using the process of the proof of Theorem 3, we can get

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega_R} f(u_i) d\mu \geq \int_{\Omega_R} f(u) d\mu. \quad (18)$$

It follows from the nonnegativity of the convex function f that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} f(u_i) d\mu \geq \int_{\Omega_R} f(u) d\mu. \quad (19)$$

Finally, by Lebesgue monotonous convergence theorem, as $R \rightarrow +\infty$, (19) implies (13). Our proof is completed. $\square$

Also, removing the nonnegativity of $f(w)$ and assuming that the convex set K is closed, by Mazur's lemma (see [9] and [11]), we can deduce

Theorem 5 ([4]). *Assume that a sequence $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^{+\infty}$ weakly* converges in $(L_\mu^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n))^m$ to u as i goes to infinity, where m and n are two positive integers. Assume that all the values of u and u_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) belong to a closed convex set K in $\mathbf{R}^m$ and that $f(w)$ is a continuous convex function from K to $\mathbf{R}$. Then the inequality (13) holds for any bounded measurable set $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$.*

Theorem 5 is in fact an extension of a result given by Ying [10] (or see [4] and [5]) and its detail proof can be found in [4].

Acknowledgement. This work was supported by grants of NSFC 10271121 and joint grants of NSFC 10511120278/10611120371 and RFBR 04-02-39026. This work was also sponsored by SRF for ROCS, SEM. We would like to thank the referee of this paper for his/her valuable comments on this work.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Banach, S. Saks, Sur la convergence dans les champs L^p , *Studia Mathematica*, **2**, 1930, 51-57.
- [2] J. J. Benedetto, *Real Variable and Integration*, B. G. Teubner, Stuttgart, 1976, p228-229.
- [3] R. J. DiPerna, P. L. Lions, Global Solutions of Boltzmann's Equation and the Entropy Inequality, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **114**, 1991, 47-55.
- [4] Z. Jiang, X. Fu, H. Tian, Convex Functions and Inequalities for Integrals, *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics (JIPAM)*, **7**(5), Article 184, 2006.
- [5] Z. Jiang, X. Fu, H. Tian, A Simple Proof of Inequalities of Integrals of Composite Functions, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 2006.
- [6] S. R. Lay, *Convex Sets and Their Applications*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1982, p214-215.
- [7] R. T. Rockafellar, *Convex Analysis*, Princeton University Press, 1970.
- [8] W. Szlenk, Sur les suites faiblement convergentes dans l'espace L , *Studia Mathematica*, **25**, 1965, 337-341.
- [9] P. Wojtaszczyk, *Banach Spaces for Analysts*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991, p28.
- [10] L. Ying, Compensated Compactness Method and Its Application to Quasilinear Hyperbolic Equations, *Advances In Mathematics (in Chinese)*, **17**(1), Jan., 1988.
- [11] K. Yosida, *Functional Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, 1965.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ZHONGSHAN UNIVERSITY, GUANGZHOU 510275, CHINA
E-mail address: mcsjzl@mail.sysu.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ZHONGSHAN UNIVERSITY, GUANGZHOU 510275, CHINA
E-mail address: mcsfxy@mail.sysu.edu.cn